CONSPIRACY AND FRAUD CHARGED BY THE GOVERNMENT

Centinued from page 1. the Utah Fuel company and Pleasant Valley Coal company

the same, except as to details in the descriptions of the land involved and the acquisition.

the Utah Fuel company

H. G. WILLIAMS, general manager of the Utah Fuel company.

W. H. BIRD (now deceased), attorney for both companies.

ROYAL C. PEARODY of Boston, official of the Utah Fuel company.

CHARLES MOSTYN OWEN, agent for the Pleasant Valley Coal company.

Charged with being tools of the companies in a conspiracy to acquire coal land fraudulently through "dummies":

HYRUM TIDWELL, WILLIAM S. RONJUE, J. R. TIDWELL, JANE FORRESTER, JEFFERSON TIDWELL, CLARENCE L, NIX, JOHN T. TIDWELL, W. J. TIDWELL, ORANGE SEELY, JOSEPH SEELY, W. H. TIDWELL, JOSEPH TIDWELL, FRANCIS C. GRUNDVIG, JOHN A. WILLIAMS.

C. GRUNDVIG, JOHN A. WILLIAMS.

ROYAL C. PEARODY of Boston, official of the Utah Fuel company.

CHARLES MOSTYN OWEN, agent for the Pleasant Valley Coal company.

Charged with being tools of the companies to deliver known coal lands to the agents by means of state selections as agricultural lands. It is charged that certain lands were marked on the government charts as containing no mirreral. It is alleged that the board certified them to the commissioner of the president and secretary. It is explained that the federal law expressly forbide the state to select any land containing mineral. Nevertheless, it is charged, the president and secretary. It is explained that the federal law expressly forbide the state to select any land containing mineral. Nevertheless, it is charged, the president and secretary. It is explained that the federal law expressly forbide the state to select any land containing mineral. Nevertheless, it is charged, the president and secretary. It is explained that the federal law expressly forbide the state to of the board containing mineral. Nevertheless, it is charged, the president and secretary. It is explained that the federal law expressly forbide the state to of the board containing mineral. Nevertheless, it is charged, the president and secretary. It is explained that the federal law expressly forbide the state tand the federal law expressly forbide the state tand bear of the beard c The complaint explains the method of



HATE TO DO IT BUT WE'LL HAVE

These fall and winter overcoats are so good we can't keep it from you any longer. If you haven't selected your winter coat yet, come in. Nothing like a July sun to remind you of their warmth. Look in the north window.

Richard Ton Talaure

172 SOUTH MAIN STREET



mineral and non-cost lands, nor as to the surprise of section 3; the northeast quarter of section 17; the northeast quarter; the north half of the northeast quarter; the north half of the northeast quarter; the southeast quarter of the northeast quarter; the southeast quarter of the southwest quarter; the southeast quarter of the southwest quarter; the southeast quarter of section 13; and 14 in section 25; and the east half of section 26; the southeast quarter of section 1. In the mortheast quarter of section 15, the northeast quarter of section 15 in the northeast quarter of section 15. In the southwest quarter of section 15 in the northeast quarter of section 15. In the southwest quarter of section 15 in the northeast quarter of section 15. In the southeast quarter of the southwest quarter of section 15. In the southeast quarter of section 15 in the northeast quarter of section 15. In the southeast quarter of the southwest quarter of section 15. In the southeast quarter of section 15; all of section 18; all of section 18;

cinnabar, lead, tin or copper, or any deposit of coal; that there is not within the limits of said land to our knowledge any placer, cement, gravel, glisonite, elaterite, asyhaltum or other valuable mineral deposit; that the land contains no sait in any form sufficient to render it valuable therefor; that no portion of said land is claimed for mining purposes under the local customer that no portion of said land is claimed for mining purposes under the local customer than no portion of said lands is worked for mineral sufficient to render it valuable therefor; that no portion of said land is claimed for mining purposes under the local customer than the purpose of fraudulently obtaining title to mineral land, and that is essentially non-mineral land, and that out application therefor is not made for the purpose of fraudulently obtaining title to mineral land, but with the object of securing said land for agricultural purposes, and the above and foregoing statements as to the character of said land apply to each and every legal subdivision thereof. That the said selections and those pending, together with those approved do not exceed the total amount granted to the state for the purpose named.

"These so-called affidavits were in each."

"And your orator further shows that in other than the proof is not made for the want of proof, that the lands named and described in said lists of selections, were of the character contemplated by and described in said lists of selections, were of the character contemplated by and that the said selections and thereof. That the said selections and thereof. That the said selections and the said is selections and the said for the purpose named.

"These so-called affidavits were in each."

"And your orator further shows that in our further shows that in

MARCH 1997 CONTROL CON benefit of the state of Utah, because, when making such statements they had already bargained said, lands to defendant's agent, but your crator says that the well-knewn occupation of said Robert Forrester as geologist for the defendant, the connection of said Forrester with the other Forresters and the relations sustained by each of the other entrymen to defendant, was sufficient to put said president and secretary upon inquiry and to have satisfied them that said Forresters, the several women making applications and the other employes of defendant had no agricultural intentions. Your orator avers that, as to the part of said printed sworn statement, and the above and foregoing statements as to the character of said land apply to each and every legal subdivision thereof, the same is, like the other portions of said statement, without foundation in fact or in the knowledge of said president and secretary.

State Aided in Deceit.

State Aided in Deceit.

the street had not be abled to the control of the c

ords, shall be regarded as conveying the fee simple of all lands embraced in such list that are of the character contemplated by such act of congress, and intended to be granted thereby; but where lands embraced in such lists at not of

22, 1893. He transferred his interests for \$1 and other considerations to John J. Judson and wife, and on the same date. Oct. 31, 1993, "the said John J. Judson and wife by warranty deed for and in consideration of the sum of one dollar con-

veyed to the defendant.' Land Office Criticised.

The actions of the commissioner of the general land office are then taken up and

general land office are then taken up and discussed. The court is asked to set aside the decisions regarding the lands mentioned in the bill, as follows:

"Your orator avers as a further reason why said certified selections should by this honorable court be avoided, and all the conveyances by which said defendant claims the right of possession or title to the lands herein described, should be set aside and held for naught, and complainant fully restored to the possession or the control of the con complainant fully restored to the possession of said lands, and all clouds upon the title removed therefrom, that the decision and certificates of the commissioner of the general land office was made through inadvertence, mistake and by the application of arroneous rules in this

ship 13 south, range 7 east; lots 3 and 4, and the south half of the northwest quarter of section 3; lots 1 and 2 and the south the southeast quarter of section 4; the east half of the southwest quarter of section 4, township 14 south, range 6 east, on August 31, 1899. This tract of 720.49 acres of land the state land board agreed to sell for forrester on Sept. 8, 1899. It was approved Jan. 5, 1901, by the state land board and incorporated in the state land selections. The register and receiver of the United States land office accepted.

pany Oct. 7, 1901, for \$225.

May Kimball applied to the state land board March 21, 1901, for lots 2, 3 and 4, and the south half of the northwest quarter; the southwest quarter of section 1;